Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/811,444	LIU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ashok Patel	2879
All Participants:	Status of Applicat	ion:
(1) Ashok Patel.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Jeffrey Knapp.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 September 2006	Time:	
	☐ Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 1-4, 7-23 and 27		
Prior art documents discussed: Urayama et al (USPN 6650061)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERAL NATURE OF WH	AT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. The examiner will provide separate record of the substance	e a written summary of the substance e of the interview, since the interview
		•
Asha		
	(Applicant/Applicant's Represen	tative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner contacted Mr. Knapp to further amend claims 1-4, 7-23 and 27 in order to overcome prior art rejection of claims 1-4, 7-23 and 27 in view of newly discovered prior art reference Urayama et al (USPN 6650061). Mr. Knapp reviewd the Urayama et al prior art reference and proposed the Examiner amendment of at least independent claims 1, 7 and 17 to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the Urayama et al prior art reference. Mr. Knapp agreed to submit the formal amendment of at least independent claims 1, 7 and 17 by Fax to the Examiner for consideration...